Tor: "Putting the P back in VPN"

Roger Dingledine The Free Haven Project

http://tor.eff.org/

20 April 2005

Talk Outline

- Motivation: Why anonymous communication?
 - Myth 1: This is only for privacy nuts.
 - Myth 2: This stuff enables criminals.
- Tor design overview
- Hidden servers and rendezvous points
- Policy issues raised
- Open technical issues and hard problems

Public Networks are Vulnerable to Traffic Analysis

- In a Public Network (Internet):
- Packet (message) headers identify recipients
- Packet routes can be tracked



Encryption does *not* hide routing information.

- Journalists, Political Dissidents, Whistleblowers
- Censorship resistant publishers/readers
- Socially sensitive communicants:
 - Chat rooms and web forums for abuse survivors, people with illnesses
- Law Enforcement:
 - Anonymous tips or crime reporting
 - Surveillance and honeypots (sting operations)
- Corporations:
 - Who's talking to the company lawyers? Are your employees looking at monster.com?
 - Hiding procurement suppliers or patterns
 - Competitive analysis

- You:
 - Where are you sending email (who is emailing you)
 - What web sites are you browsing
 - Where do you work, where are you from
 - What do you buy, what kind of physicians do you visit, what books do you read, ...

Government

Government Needs Anonymity? Yes, for...

- Open source intelligence gathering
 - Hiding individual analysts is not enough
 - That a query was from a govt. source may be sensitive
- Defense in depth on open and *classified* networks
 - Networks with only cleared users (but a million of them)
- Dynamic and semitrusted international coalitions
 - Network can be shared without revealing existence or amount of communication between all parties
- Elections and voting

Government Needs Anonymity? Yes, for...

- Networks partially under known hostile control
 - To attack comm. enemy must take down whole network
- Politically sensitive negotiations
- Road Warriors
- Protecting procurement patterns
- Anonymous tips (national security, congressional investigations, etc. in addition to law enforcement)

Anonymity Loves Company

- You can't be anonymous by yourself
 - Can have confidentiality by yourself
- A network that protects only DoD network users won't hide that connections from that network are from Defense Dept.
- You must carry traffic for others to protect yourself
- But those others don't want to trust their traffic to just one entity either. Network needs *distributed trust*.
- Security depends on diversity and dispersal of network.

And yes criminals

And yes criminals

But they already have it. We need to protect everyone else.

Anonymous From Whom? Adversary Model

- Recipient of your message
- Sender of your message
- => Need Channel and Data Anonymity
- Observer of network from outside
- Network Infrastructure (Insider)
- => Need Channel Anonymity
- Note: Anonymous authenticated communication makes perfect sense
- Communicant identification should be inside the basic channel, not a property of the channel

Focus of Tor is anonymity of the communication pipe, not what goes through it



- Channels appear to come from proxy, not true originator
- Appropriate for Web connections, etc.: SSL, TLS, SSH (lower cost symmetric encryption)
- Examples: The Anonymizer
- Advantages: Simple, Focuses lots of traffic for more anonymity
- Main Disadvantage: Single point of failure, compromise, attack

Onion Routing Traffic Analysis Resistant Infrastructure

- Main Idea: Combine Advantages of mixes and proxies
- Use (expensive) public-key crypto to establish circuits
- Use (cheaper) symmetric-key crypto to move data
 - Like SSL/TLS based proxies
- Distributed trust like mixes
- Related Work (some implemented, some just designs):
 - ISDN Mixes
 - Crowds, JAP Webmixes, Freedom Network
 - Tarzan, Morphmix

Tor



The Onion Routing



Tor's Onion Routing

Numbers and Performance

- Running since October 2003
- 150 nodes on five continents (North America, South America, Europe, Asia, Australia)
- Ten thousand+ (?) users
- Nodes process 1-90 GB / day application cells
- Network has never been down

Tor Circuit Setup

Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1



Tor Circuit Setup

- Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1
- Proxy tunnels through that circuit to extend to Onion Router 2



Tor Circuit Setup

- Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1
- Proxy tunnels through that circuit to extend to Onion Router 2
- Etc



Tor Circuit Usage

- Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1
- Proxy tunnels through that circuit to extend to Onion Router 2
- Etc
- Client applications connect and communicate over Tor circuit



Tor Circuit Usage

- Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1
- Proxy tunnels through that circuit to extend to Onion Router 2
- Etc
- Client applications connect and communicate over Tor circuit



Tor Circuit Usage

- Client Proxy establishes session key + circuit w/ Onion Router 1
- Proxy tunnels through that circuit to extend to Onion Router 2
- Etc
- Client applications connect and communicate over Tor circuit



Where do I go to connect to the network?

- Directory Servers
 - Maintain list of which onion routers are up, their locations, current keys, exit policies, etc.
 - Directory server keys ship with the code
 - Control which nodes can join network
 - Important to guard against Sybil attack and related problems
 - These directories are cached and served by other servers, to reduce bottlenecks

Some Tor Properties

- Simple modular design, restricted ambitions.
 ~30K lines of C code
 - Even servers run in user space, no need to be root
 - Flexible exit policies, each node chooses what applications/destinations can emerge from it

Some Tor Properties

- Lots of supported platforms: Linux, BSD, MacOS X, Solaris, Windows, ...
- Deployment paradigm:
 - Volunteer server operators
 - No payments, not proprietary
 - Moving to a P2P incentives model

Number of running Tor servers



Total traffic through Tor network



- Alice can connect to Bob's server without knowing where it is or possibly who he is
- Can provide servers that
 - Are accessible from anywhere
 - Resist censorship
 - Require minimal redundancy for resilience in denial of service (DoS) attack
 - Can survive to provide selected service even during full blown distributed DoS attack
 - Resistant to physical attack (you can't find them)
- How is this possible?

1. Server Bob creates onion routes to Introduction Points (IP)



- 1. Server Bob creates onion routes to Introduction Points (IP)
- 2. Bob gets Service Descriptor incl. Intro Pt. addresses to Alice
 - In this example gives them to Service Lookup Server



2'. Alice obtains Service Descriptor (including Intro Pt. address) at Lookup Server



3. Client Alice creates onion route to Rendezvous Point (RP)





Introduction Points

- 3. Client Alice creates onion route to Rendezvous Point (RP)
- 4. Alice sends RP addr. and any authorization through IP to Bob



5. If Bob chooses to talk to Alice, connects to Rendezvous Point



5. If Bob chooses to talk to Alice, connects to Rendezvous Point6. Rendezvous point mates the circuits from Alice and Bob



How do we compare Tor's security?

Assume the adversary owns c of the n nodes.

(he can choose which)

What's the chance for a random Alice talking to a random Bob that the adversary learns they are linked?

- Freedom, Tor: c^2/n^2
- Peekabooty, six-four, freenet: c/n
- JAP: c^2/(n/2)^2
- Anonymizer: 1 if c>0

(10 of 100 => 1%)

(10 of 100 => 10%)

(10 of 100 => 4%)

Get the Code, Run a Node! (or just surf the web anonymously)

- Current code freely available (3-clause BSD license)
- Comes with a specification the JAP team in Dresden implemented a compatible Tor client in Java
- Design paper, system spec, code, see the list of current nodes, etc.

http://tor.eff.org/

Tradeoffs

- Low-latency (Tor) vs. high-latency (Mixminion)
- Packet-level vs stream-level capture
- Padding vs. no padding (mixing, traffic shaping)
- UI vs. no UI
- AS-level paths and proximity issues
- Incentives to run servers / allow exits
- Enclave-level onion routers / proxies / helper nodes
- Path length? (3 hops, don't reuse nodes)
- China?
- P2P network vs. static network

Policy issues

- Spam / spam blacklists
- Wikipedia
- Internet Relay Chat (IRC)
- DMCA (MPAA)
- Hotmail (FBI)
- Good time for anonymous credentials?