

The Tor Project, Inc.

 Our mission is to be the global resource for technology, advocacy, research and education in the ongoing pursuit of freedom of speech, privacy rights online, and censorship circumvention.

Time in seconds to complete 50 KiB request

Measured times on all sources per day

Median

1st to 3rd quartile



The Tor Project - https://metrics.torproject.org/

Number of relays



The Tor Project - https://metrics.torproject.org/



The Tor Project - https://metrics.torproject.org/

When we wrote the SAFER proposal

- Iran ran default-config Smartfilter
- China had blocked public Tor relays; vanilla bridges worked great there
- China did stateless regexp on TCP payload
- Tor was blending with SSL, because "who would block SSL"
- Before Tunisia, Egypt, Libya, Syria, ...



| Tor Network Settings | |
|---|--|
| BROWSER | Before the Tor Browser Bundle tries to connect to the Tor network, you need to provide information about this computer's Internet connection. |
| Which of the following best des | cribes your situation? |
| This computer's Internet connection I would like to connect directly to the Connect | is clear of obstacles. Tor network. |
| This computer's Internet connection I need to configure network settings. Configure | is censored, filtered, or proxied. |
| For assistance, contact help@rt.torpro | ojectorg |
| | Exit |



• 🔁 🌒 1

Re

3

. | o | x | About Tor - Tor Browser File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help Facebook ÷ About Tor Atlas X \times × V C 🔗 🗸 Startpage Q · d. ~ S about:tor New Identity Tor Browser 3.5-Linux Cookie Protections **Congratulations!** About Torbutton... This browser is configured to use Tor. Open Network Settings...

You are now free to browse the Internet anonymously. <u>Test Tor Network Settings</u>

Search securely with Startpage.

۵



The Tor Project is a US 501(c)(3) non-profit dedicated to the research, development, and education of online anonymity and privacy. Learn more about The Tor Project »

Tor Controller Interface

| • stem | meejah@pretend:~/src/txtorcon-github\$ make trialreporter=text txtorcon.test |
|------------------------------|--|
| pytorctl | ····· |
| • jtorctl | Ran 229 tests in 1.140s PASSED (successes=229) |
| • txtorcon | <pre>meejah@pretend: /src/txtorcon-github% python examples/launch_tor_endpoint.py 10%: Finishing handshake with directory server 15%: Establishing an encrypted directory connection 20%: Asking for networkstatus consensus</pre> |
| | 25%: Loading networkstatus consensus 40%: Loading authority key certs 45%: Asking for relay descriptors |
| | 80%: Connecting to the Tor network 85%: Finishing handshake with first hop 90%: Establishing a Tor circuit |
| | 100%: Done I have set up a hidden service, advertised at: http://567zt26xqpvmdwcs.onion:80 |
| | locally listening on IPv4Address(TCP, '0.0.0.0', 31855) |

Tor network simulators

- Shadow
- ExperimenTor
- Chutney
- Puppetor



Relay descriptor archives

The relay descriptor archives contain all documents that the directory authorities make available about the network of relays. T Include network statuses, server (relay) descriptors, and extra-info descriptors. The data formats are described <u>here</u>.

| May 2013 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
|----------------|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|----------|
| April 2013 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | <u>v3 votes</u> | 7 |
| March 2013 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| February 2013 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | <u>v3 votes</u> | 7 |
| January 2013 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| December 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| November 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| October 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| September 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| August 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| July 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| June 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| May 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| April 2012 | | server descriptors | extra-infos | <u>v3 votes</u> | <u>v</u> |
| March 2012 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | <u>v3 votes</u> | 7 |
| February 2012 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| January 2012 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| December 2011 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| November 2011 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | <u>v3 votes</u> | 7 |
| October 2011 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | <u>v</u> |
| September 2011 | v2 statuses | server descriptors | extra-infos | v3 votes | 7 |
| 4 | | and the second | | | |

compass.torproject.org

| Tér * | Compass _{-beta} | Home T | rac Ticket #6498 | | | | Source co | de Repo | rtabug Co | ontact |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--|
| Consensus # Weights | Advertised Bandwidth | Guard Probability | Middle Probability | Exit Probability | Nickname | Fingerprint | Exit | Guard | Country | Autonomous System |
| 1 1.0366% | 0.7238% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 3.1100% | IPredator | E0113C18 | Exit | - | SE | AS37560 CYBERDYNE |
| 2 1.0469% | 0.7827% | 0.3725% | 0.3724% | 2.3958% | TorLand1 | 4E377F91 | Exit | Guard | GB | AS13213 UK2 Ltd |
| 3 0.8775% | 0.3747% | 0.3123% | 0.3122% | 2.0082% | YawnboxSeattle | 6B53D408 | Exit | Guard | US | AS11404 vanoppen.biz LLC |
| 4 0.8509% | 0.8926% | 0.3028% | 0.3027% | 1.9472% | chulak | 5BA10C15 | Exit | Guard | RO | AS39743 Voxility S.R.L. |
| 5 0.5830% | 0.5245% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.7490% | politkovskaja | 7DCB5313 | Exit | - | NL | AS43350 NFOrce Entertainment BV |
| 6 0.5635% | 0.7286% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.6905% | herngaard | 80F870DD | Exit | - | US | AS29761 Web Africa Proxy aut-num object |
| 7 0.6969% | 0.7062% | 0.2480% | 0.2479% | 1.5949% | manning1 | 073F2793 | Exit | Guard | US | AS29761 Web Africa Proxy aut-num object |
| 8 0.5142% | 0.2964% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.5427% | DFRI3 | 4BAF6B9A | Exit | - | SE | AS198093 Foreningen for digitala fri- och rattigheter |
| 9 0.4824% | 0.4993% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.4472% | politkovskaja2 | B93DCC05 | Exit | _ | NL | AS43350 |



Tails LiveCD





Pluggable transports







Attack #1: Address enumeration

- Break into bridge authority
- Solve challenges from BridgeDB
- Vulnerable: everything that uses a standard Bridge line
- Immune: meek, flashproxy

Attack #2: Active probing

- Vulnerable: obfs2, obfs3, fte, flashproxy (pointless?)
- Immune: obfs4, ScrambleSuit

Attack #3: Broad DPI

- Accepts high collateral damage
- E.g. blocking flows based on packet entropy
- Vulnerable: obfs2, obfs3, obfs4, ScrambleSuit
- Immune: meek, flashproxy, fte (?), StegoTorus

Attack #4: Protocol DPI

- Attacks to determine the protocol that's in use
- Vulnerable: obfs2, flashproxy (?)
- Immune: obfs3, obfs4, ScrambleSuit, meek, fte, StegoTorus

Attack #5: Parrot DPI

- Attacks to distinguish the apparent protocol from the underlying one
- Vulnerable: fte, SkypeMorph

Attack #6: Protocol whitelisting

- Only allow known protocols through. Includes Iran's aggressive throttling of unknown protocols.
- Vulnerable: obfs2, obfs3, obfs4, ScrambleSuit
- Immune: depends on whitelist config

Attack #7: Cut long connections

- Terminate/throttle non-whitelisted protocols after 60s
- Vulnerable: obfs2, obfs3, obfs4, ScrambleSuit, fte
- Immune: meek, StegoTorus, flashproxy (?)

Attack #8: Flow fingerprinting

- Determine underlying protocol by e.g. timing, data transfer size, etc
- Vulnerable: obfs2, obfs3, meek, fte(?), flashproxy
- Mitigated: ScrambleSuit, obfs4
- Immune: StegoTorus (?)

Tie-in to surveillance

• Flashproxy as a savior vs Global surveillance?

Measurement Lab / Adversary Lab

- We need a set of benchmarks ("Iran 2011") to test against – real attacks that we want to know how a given design fares against
- Background traffic issue
- Assessment needs to describe attributes, not conclusions. "China can't block this" vs "An adversary who does X would choose not to block this"

Big open questions (1)

Resisting address enumeration attacks

Big open questions (2)

• What protocols/services will remain open?

OONI:

Measuring interference in the wild

- Measuring censorship of destinations and protocols
- But just as importantly, preemptively tracking which protocols work where

Big open questions (3)

- Who should be the exit relays?
- (For Tor, for uProxy, etc)

Big open questions (4)

- Realism of parrot attacks?
- FTE should be resistant, but in practice is incredibly vulnerable

Big open questions (5)

- Centralization of bridge operation?
- Or of blending services

Big open questions (6)

- What do we do when protocol whitelist + tls mitm?
- What other plausible censorship scenarios is our toolkit unprepared for?