

Incentives to relay

- ◆ 1) Incentives to relay traffic
- ◆ 2) Incentives to do it well
- ◆ 3) Incentives to allow exits.
- ◆ Naïve tit-for-tat probably not so smart. But maybe something like it?

“Run two servers and wait”

- ◆ Over time, Alice will choose your nodes as entry and exit.
- ◆ Guard nodes.
- ◆ What's the right way to do guard nodes in the presence of churn?

Location diversity

- ◆ When many nodes are at a single ISP, and many paths are observable by a single ISP, what local algorithms can Alice use to improve (maximize?) her safety?

Non-clique topology

- ◆ Right now we assume all nodes can reach all other nodes. We're fine as long as that's mostly true.
- ◆ What about Internet splits?
- ◆ What about nodes in China – or entire Tor networks in China?
- ◆ One answer is Geoff Goodell's “Blossom” project at Harvard.

Mid-latency

- ◆ How much latency do you need to add to start seeing end-to-end defense?

Asymmetric bandwidth on servers

- ◆ Servers on cablemodem pull down bytes easily, but can't send them out again.
- ◆ Need to rate limit reading so we do our own push-back?

Does it mix?

- ◆ Does low-latency traffic provide cover (“mix”) with mid/high-latency traffic?

Website fingerprinting

- ◆ Do these attacks work against Tor?
- ◆ Does cell size change things?
- ◆ Does variable delay change things?
- ◆ What about a little bit of padding, e.g. long-range dummies?

Fragmenting streams

- ◆ Should we fragment streams across multiple paths?

Congestion attacks

- ◆ Can you “measure” Alice by ICMP pings even if she doesn't relay traffic for you?
- ◆ (Cf Murdoch/Danezis Oakland05 paper)

Pseudonyms/profiles

- ◆ Logging into your gmail account and then posting to Indymedia is bad.
- ◆ But a new circuit for every request is also bad.
- ◆ What's the right compromise/strategy?

Puzzles to manage load?

- ◆ If each server demands that Alice solves a puzzle, can we make the puzzle proportional to load?
- ◆ Alice's delay reveals which node she's solving a puzzle for?

Transporting UDP and IP

- ◆ Need IP-level packet normalization library.
- ◆ Application-level streams still need scrubbing (e.g. privoxy).
- ◆ DNS requests to your local nameserver still leak information.
- ◆ DTLS exists now, but we still need a new Tor protocol that handles tagging attacks, drops, resends, etc.
- ◆ Exit policies for arbitrary IP packets mean building a secure IDS.
- ◆ The Tor-internal name spaces (.onion, .exit) must be redesigned.